

12 questions to help you make sense of economic evaluations

How to use this appraisal tool

Three broad issues need to be considered when appraising an economic evaluation:

- Is the economic evaluation valid? (Section A)
- How were costs and consequences assessed and compared? (Section B)
- Will the results help in purchasing services for local people? (Section C)

The 12 questions on the following pages are designed to help you think about these issues systematically.

The first two questions are screening questions and can be answered quickly. If the answer to both is "yes", it is worth proceeding with the remaining questions.

There is some degree of overlap between the questions, you are asked to record a "yes", "no" or "can't tell" to most of the questions. A number of prompts are given after each question. These are designed to remind you why the question is important. Record your reasons for your answers in the spaces provided.

There will not be time in the small groups to answer them all in detail!

The 12 questions are adapted from: Drummond MF, Stoddart GL, Torrance GW. Methods for the economic evaluation of health care programmes. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1987

©CASP This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/. www.casp-uk.net

(A) Is the economic evaluation valid?

Screening Questions

1. Was a well-defined question posed?

Yes Can't tell No

HINT: Is it clear what the authors are trying to achieve?

- What is the perspective?
- How many options are compared?
- Are both costs and consequences considered?
- What is the time horizon?

2. Was a comprehensive description of the competing alternatives given?

Yes Can't tell No

HINT: Is there a clear decision tree (or similar given):

 Can you tell who did what, to whom, where and how often?

Is it worth continuing?



Detailed questions

3. Does the paper provide evidence that the programme would be effective (i.e. would the programme do more good than harm)?	Yes	Can't tell No
• if an RCT or systematic review was used; if not consider how strong the evidence was (Economic evaluations frequently have to integrate different types of knowledge stemming from different study designs)		
 4. Were the effects of the intervention identified, measured and valued appropriately? HINT: Effects can be measured in natural units (e.g. years of life) or more complex units (e.g. years adjusted for quality of life such as QALYs) or monetary equivalents of the benefit gained (e.g. \$). 	Yes	Can't tell No

5.	Were all important and relevant resources required and health outcome costs for each alternative identified, measured in appropriate units and valued credibly? HINT: Identified? Remember the perspective being taken	Yes	Can't tell	No
	 HINT: Measured accurately in appropriate units prior to evaluation? Appropriate units may be hours of nursing time, Number of physician visits, years-of-life gained etc. HINT: Valued credibly? Are the values realistic? How have they been derived? Have opportunity costs been considered? 			
6.	Were costs and consequences adjusted for different times at which they occurred (discounting)?	Yes	Can't tell	No

(B) How were consequences and costs assessed and compared?

HINT: Consider • What is the bottom line? What units were used (e.g. cost/life year gained, Cost/QALY, net benefit)? Yes Can't tell No 8. Was an incremental analysis of the consequences and cost of alternatives performed? Yes Can't tell No 9. Was an adequate sensitivity analysis performed? **HINT: Consider** • If all the main areas of uncertainty were considered by changing the estimate of the variable and looking at how this would change the result of the

7. What were the results of the evaluation?

economic evaluation

(C) Will the results help in purchasing for local people?

10. Is the programme likely to be equally effective in your context or setting?	Yes Can't tell No
HINT: Consider whether	
 the patients covered by the review could be sufficiently different to your population to cause concern your local setting is likely to differ much from that of the review 	
11. Are the costs translatable to your setting?	Yes Can't tell No
12. Is it worth doing in your setting?	Yes Can't tell No