

## **Article Appraisal**

Date of Journal Club: February 6	, 2014	
Resident Reviewer Name(s) and Residency Affiliation:		Dr. Ryan Cordes
		CCFP-EM R3
Faculty Methodology/Biostatistics Resource Person:		Dr. Ka Wai Cheung
Background and Study Objective(s)		
Background and Study Objective(s)	minor head well studied	nce of both immediate and delayed intracranial hemorrhage after injury in patients on either warfarin or clopidogrel has not been . This study looked at head trauma patients on warfarin or to address the above gap in knowledge.
Study Design	hospitals and 2 trai study included pat clopidogrel within from other facilities	nter, prospective, observational cohort study of emergency department patients from 4 community uma sites in the United States. Patients were enrolled between April 2009 and January 2011. The ients 18 years of age or older with any injury to the head or neck who had taken warfarin or the last 7 days. Patients taking both warfarin and clopidogrel were excluded. Patients transferred swere excluded to minimize bias. Management of the patients was at the discretion of the attending an. Patients were followed for 2 weeks for assessment of delayed intracranial bleeds.
Results	CT head scan. Basel groups, except that clopidogrel group. C to 16.4%) of the clop (0.6%, 95% CI 0.2% t	cluded in the study (768 on warfarin, 296 on clopidogrel). Of all patients, 94% (1000/1064) received an initial ine demographics and initial clinical characteristics were similar between the clopidogrel and warfarin aspirin use, headache, and visible evidence of trauma to the neck and scalp were more common in the of the patients who received a CT head, 5% (95% CI 3.6% to 7.0%) of the warfarin group and 12% (95% CI 8.4% bidogrel group had an initial positive scan. On 2 week follow-up of the initially negative CT scans, 4 patients of 1.5%)) in the warfarin group had delayed intracranial hemorrhages. Two of the four patients died from the red admission for observation. No patients in the clopidogrel group had a delayed ICH.
Validity of Results	This is the largest trial assessing this clinical question to date. However the validity of the results came under scrutiny at Journal Club for several reasons. The degree of head trauma came into question. Ten percent of patients in the study did not even receive an initial head CT, suggesting that this might have been a group with very minimal trauma. The study also included any trauma above the neck, not just head trauma. Furthermore the inclusion criteria of only needing to take one dose of medication within the last seven days suggests some participants may not have been fully anticoagulated. All of this may point towards an underestimate of the proportion of both initial and delayed ICHs.  The possible limitations and biases arising from public knowledge were also noted at Journal Club. Warfarin is a well-known anticoagulant and the warfarin cohort may have been more aware of the need for an MD assessment with minor head trauma. The clopidogrel cohort, in contrast, on the other hand may not have been as aware of the risks and only come into the ED with more severe trauma – thus contributing to the higher percentage of initial ICH's.	
Generalizability of Results	As explained above, it is possible that the numbers from this study are underestimates for true head trauma in truly anticoagulated patients. The study population was based out of Northern California and, it was felt, would be representative of the patients seen in BC. It was felt that the practice style of American physicians working in an American system may not be as generalizable to the decisions made within a Canadian context.	
The Bottom Line		east as bad as warfarin for risk of immediate ICH's after head patients on this medication should be assessed and managed